

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/583,321 05/30/2000 Charles R. Berg 1549 EXAMINER 7590 04/22/2004 William J Kramer HARRISON, JESSICA Marshall Gerstein & Borun ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive 3714 Chicago, IL 60606-6357 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| * | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|----------|--|
| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | |
| Office Action Summary | | 09/583,321 | | BERG ET AL. | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | |
| | | Jessica J. Нагті | | 3714 | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cove | r sheet with the c | orrespondence address | ; | |
| THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the pr | 36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mi will apply and will expire to cause the application to the second secon | ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commun D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ication. | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| · — | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 February 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 10)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected to by the Examine The specification is objected. | cepted or b) ob drawing(s) be held tion is required if the | d in abeyance. Se ne drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1. | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) <u>□</u> a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been rec ts have been rec prity documents h nu (PCT Rule 17. | eived. eived in Applicat ave been receiv 2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stag | le | |
| 2) Notion Notion Notion Notion | nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | -, F | 1 | |) | |

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 3, 2004 has been entered. Claims 82 – 100 have been cancelled. Claims 101-119 have been entered.

Specification

Fourth notice:

It is noted that applicant presented a replacement abstract with the proposed amendment of November 7, 2003. However, as this amendment was not entered, the abstract present in the application file remains deficient. The same is true for the abstract presented in the non-entered amendment of July 14, Applicant must represent the corrected Abstract in an amendment that is entitled to entry. The objection is repeated herein.

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is

Application/Control Number: 09/583,321

Art Unit: 3714

Page 3

important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The instant abstract is to short to describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 105-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a new matter rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/583,321 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

More specifically, the disclosure relating to the claimed "questions are separated into a plurality of question groups, wherein the question groups offer different potential values to the player and where the question groups with more difficult questions offering higher potential values to the player" is only seen disclosed with respect to the "JEOPARDY" embodiment. Both claims 105 and 111 include this language, but also recite answer groups having desirable and undesirable answers which as disclosed particularly relate to the "FAMILY FEUD" embodiment. Further, dependent claims 107, 108, 112 and 113 relate to the manner of displaying the answers which are also only disclosed in relation to the "JEOPARDY" embodiment. Accordingly, the claims as presented recite a particular combination of elements that cross disclosed embodiments and accordingly are new matter.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 101 - 104 are allowed.

The prior art of record fails to show or fairly suggest the combination as set forth in claim 101 including, but not limited to, the steps of "providing an electronic database of questions for presentation to the player where the questions are separated into a plurality of question groups, wherein the question groups offer different potential values to the player and where the question groups with more difficult questions offering higher potential values to the player" and "allowing the player to select the question group" as recited.

Application/Control Number: 09/583,321

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Page 5

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the additional reference to Vancura relating to a knowledged based casino bonus game.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica J. Harrison whose telephone number is 703-308-2217. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica J. Harrison Primary Examiner Application/Control Number: 09/583,321

Art Unit: 3714

Art Unit 3714

jjh

Page 6